

Date: Sun, 24 Oct 93 04:30:11 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #390  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sun, 24 Oct 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    390

Today's Topics:

End-It All Now, Please ...  
SSB Intruders on HF

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 93 21:48:40 EST  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!  
usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: End-It All Now, Please ...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

The ITU rule doesn't mention power. Japan is either ignoring it or not --  
power is not an issue.

Do you honestly think that other nations would start breaking treaties with us  
willy-nilly just because we stop requiring Morse Code?

-----  
Date: Thu, 21 Oct 1993 20:20:07 GMT  
From: mac\_072.pppl.gov!user@princeton.edu  
Subject: SSB Intruders on HF  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <drew.66.0@trl.oz.au>, drew@trl.oz.au (D.Diamond) wrote:  
>

> Forget the blasted code debate. There will be no HF bands if we don't  
> act, and do something pretty soon. Don't you guys in the 'States hear that  
> wall-to-wall waffle on our precious HF bands? 7MHz is full of it, 10.1MHz is  
> jumping with it- thousands of SSB CB type signals. What's going on? I still  
> have not been able to identify the language (Indonesian? and Spanish too).  
> Is it only plainly audible this side of the Pacific?  
>  
> What can we do about it? And please be sensible- no time-wasting smart-arse  
> (ass) replies, this is serious.  
>  
> 73, Drew, VK3XU.

Yes, here in New Jersey every evening the 10.1 MHz band, which to the best of my knowledge has NO legal amateur SSB operation, is lousy with strong SSB signals chattering away in Spanish. I can understand enough Spanish to tell that these are NOT licensed amateurs, and are located in Central and South America. I can sometimes hear these clowns transmitting right on top of WWV on 10 MHz. Also, they appear to be encroaching on 14 MHz, starting up from the bottom. When the sunspot cycle was higher, the lower 100 or 200 kHz of the 28 MHz band was loaded with the "hola, hola banda libre" crowd. These are pirate operators in countries whose governments obviously do not care about criminal radio operation by their citizens. My suspicion is that the reason the ARRL and IARU never have anything to say about this issue is that there really is no solution. Washington cannot even obtain cooperation from some of these governments on drug trafficking or illegal immigration, and I'm sure that keeping pirate operators out of amateur radio bands would be a LOW priority request. The most useful suggestion I've seen would be for us to move to CLOVER or some other robust mode of communication. What other solutions are there? Home-on-SSB missiles? Infiltration of the Peace Corp ranks by ham agents armed with DF equipment and grenades?

73, George  
NJ2P

Standard Disclaimer: The above are my opinions, and do not necessarily represent those of my employer.

---

George B. Christianson	INTERNet: gchristianson@pppl.gov
Princeton University	else: gchristi@phoenix.princeton.edu
Plasma Physics Laboratory	Phone: (609)-243-3270 FTS: 340-3270
P.O. Box 451	Amateur Radio: NJ2P

Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

-----|-----

-----  
Date: 24 Oct 93 02:43:29 GMT  
From: ogicse!emory!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!  
news.delphi.com!usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <01H489YXNV0I9LUYCE@IRIS.UNCG.EDU>, <29sq1a\$hvd@news.delphi.com>,  
<CF2ysJ.1Hy@news.Hawaii.Edu>.d  
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please ...

"Gee, you keep mentioning Japan without mentioning the severe power  
restrictions..."

Those restrictions were imposed by the MPT. The FCC would not be required to  
do the same thing.

-----  
Date: Sat, 23 Oct 93 21:46:19 EST  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!  
usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CF2ysJ.1Hy@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <29uf55INN42i@abyss.West.Sun.COM>,  
<CF5wvn.453@news.Hawaii.Edu>sen  
Subject : Re: End-It All Now, Please ...

"I'm suggesting 11 and 1750 meters as alternatives..."

They are not. Neither is part of amateur radio.

You still haven't come up with a GOOD argument for requiring code knowledge in  
order to use non-code modes.

-----  
End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #390  
\*\*\*\*\*